# **Derivatization of 5α-Cholestane-3β,5,6β-Triol into Trimethylsilyl Ether Sterol for GC Analysis**

## Peter S.W. Park<sup>a,\*</sup> and Paul B. Addis<sup>b</sup>

aFood Protein R & D Center, Texas A & M University, Faculty Mail Box 183, College Station, TX 77843 and bDepartment of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Avenue, St, Paul, MN 55108

Chemical identity of  $5\alpha$ -cholestane-3 $\beta$ ,5,6 $\beta$ -triol (C-Triol) as a trimethylsilyl (TMS) ether derivative was **studied** using gas chromatography (GC), mass spectrometry **(MS), and proton** nuclear magnetic **resonance**  (NMR) spectroscopy. The derivatization mixture, held at 23°C for 30 and 300 min, showed only a single peak (B) by GC. When **the mixture** was heated at 70°C for a **few hours, another** peak (A) emerged ahead of peak (B). GC-MS analysis revealed that the GC peaks (A) and (B) are C-Triol as *tris-* and *bis-TMS* ether derivatives, respectively. NMR analysis suggested that the hydroxyl groups at  $C_3$  and  $C_6$  of C-Triol were involved in **the formation of the** *bis-TMS* **ether.** 

We documented that  $5\alpha$ -cholestane-3 $\beta$ ,5,6 $\beta$ -triol (C-Triol) was derivatized into tris-trimethylsilyl (TMS) ether sterol when we reported separation of some common cholesterol oxidation products (COPS) for their gas chromatographic quantitation (1). However, when C-Triol, known to be among the most toxic COPS (2,3), was found in freeze-dried pork stored under abusive conditions (4), the mass spectrum of C-Triol as TMS ether (4) did not agree with that of C-Triol as *tris-TMS*  ether (1). The later study (4) suggested that only two hydroxyl groups of C-Triol were derivatized into the TMS ether, giving rise to *bis-* rather than *tris-TMS*  ether. Such a conflict in mass spectra for C-Triol as TMS ether between those two reports (1,4) prompted the present reinvestigation. In this communication, the unambiguous assignment has been made for the structural identity of C-Triol as *bis-*, not tris-TMS ether when C-Triol is to be derivatized following procedures used by the authors (1, 4-7).

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Derivatization of C-Triol into TMS ether was carried out under two different conditions: (i) at room temperature (about 23°C) as reported previously (1) for 30 and 300 min, and (ii) at 70°C for up to four days. Gas chromatography (GC) conditions were the same as used previously  $(1,4)$ , except for higher GC oven temperatures (210-250°C at 3°C/min rise) with a Varian gas chromatograph (Model 3400).

Mass spectrometry (MS) was performed with a combined GC-MS in a manner similar to previous studies (1,4), except that a V.G. Analytical mass spectrometer (Model 70-S, Manchester, England) equipped with an

11-250J data system was used. C-Triol derivatized at room temperature for 30 min was analyzed by proton (tH) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectral studies. C-Triol TMS ether, derivatized at 23°C for 30 min, was extracted with hexane and freed of hexane under a stream of nitrogen. After redissolving the extract into deuterated chloroform containing no tetramethylsilane,  $^1$ H NMR spectrum was obtained with a Varian XL-200 spectrometer operating at a frequency of 200 MHz.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The upper chromatogram (Fig. 1) is obtained with the reaction mixture held at room temperature for 30 min, a condition routinely used by authors to quantify COPS



FIG. 1. Gas chromatograms of trimethylsilyl ethers of  $5\alpha$ cholestane- $3\beta$ , $5,6\beta$ -triol derivatized under different heating temperature and time conditions.

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at Bristol-Myers USPNG, Food and Nutrition Research, Mail Code R2, 2400 W. Lloyd Expressway, Evansville, IN 47721-0001

in foods (1,4-7). Only a single peak (B) resulted without any noticeable peaks other than solvents background, which agreed with the previous report (1,4}. After 300 min at room temperature only the same single peak (B} was observed with the approximately same peak area. When the derivatization proceeded at 70°C, another peak (A} emerged (middle and lower chromatograms in Fig. 1). With prolonged reaction for derivatization, the area ratio of peaks A/B grew. Since C-Triol as a *tris-TMS* ether derivative would be less polar and more volatile than that as a *bis-TMS* ether, the former is expected to elute ahead of the latter under GC conditions of the present study. Therefore, peaks (A) and (B) were inferred to be C-Triol as *tris*and *bis-TMS* ethers, respectively.

Supposing that the three hydroxyl groups at positions  $\tilde{C}_3$ ,  $C_5$  and  $C_6$  of C-Triol are converted to TMS ethers, the molecular weight of the resultant derivative amounts to 636, which is the molecular ion  $(M<sup>+</sup>)$ of C-Triol as *tris*-TMS ether. The peak at  $m/z = 636$  is clearly shown in the mass spectrum (A) of Figure 2 for the peak (A) of Figure 1. Similarly, if only two hydroxyl groups are involved in the TMS derivatization, the resultant molecular weight becomes 564. The peak at m/z = 564, the molecular ion of C-Triol as *bis-TMS*  ether, is displayed clearly as the ion with the highest m/z in the spectrum  $(B)$  of Figure 2 for the peak  $(B)$  of Figure 1. Apart from peaks at  $m/z = 636$  (and thus 621,  $M^+ - 15$  and  $m/z = 564$  in spectra (A) and (B), respectively, both spectra  $(A)$  and  $(B)$  look very much alike in terms of the same major ions as well as their relative intensities. The peak at  $m/z = 456$  appeared as the base peak in both spectra followed by  $m/z =$ 546, 483, 321 and 367. Therefore, based on the observation of molecular ions, (i.e.,  $m/z = 636$  and 564 in the spectra (A) and (B), respectively) *tris-* and *bis-TMS*  ether structures are assigned for the peaks (A) and (B) of Figure 1, respectively. Worthy of mention is that the mass spectrum (B), now representing C-Triol as *bis-TMS* ether, differed in the relative intensity of major ions from the mass spectrum tentatively identified as *bis-TMS* ether in our previous report (4) on the basis of its excellent agreement with the report for bis-TMS ether (8). This difficulty in reproducing a mass spectrum with regard to the order of relative intensities of major ions when different instruments were used, whether or not it accounts for the observed difference, stresses the importance of running standards to establish the reference mass spectra prior to sample runs.

1H NMR analysis of the extracts from the derivatization mixture held at room temperature for 30 min, essentially the same procedure producing only peak (B) in Figure 1, displayed only two lines with almost equal intensity around zero ppm (Fig. 3). This result



FIG. 2. Electron impact (70 eV) mass spectra of 5*a*-cholestane-3*β*,5,6*β*-triol trimethylsilyl ethers isolated by GC from the derivatiza**tion mixture heated** at 70~C for 24 **hr. Spectra A and B are** *tris.* **and** *bis-trimethylsilyl* **ethers, respectively, for the GC peaks A and**  B **in Figure** 1.



FIG. 3. Proton NMR spectrum of  $5\alpha$ -cholestane-3 $\beta$ ,5,6 $\beta$ -triol after trimethylsilyl derivatization at 23°C for 30 min.

clearly supported the concept that hydroxyl groups at  $C_3$  and  $C_6$  position of C-Triol are derivatized into TMS ethers. When C-Triol was converted to p-nitrobenzoyl derivatives after being heated at 80°C for one hr, 1H NMR studies also revealed reactions on hydroxyl groups at  $C_3$  and  $C_6$ , but not  $C_5$  due to steric hindrance (unpublished),

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Published as paper No. 17,105 of the contribution series of the Minnesota Agricultural Experimental Station on research conducted under Minnesota Agricultural Station Project 18-23, supported by Hatch funds. This research was supported in part by the American Meat Institute. The authors thank Ms. Janet Debes for her assistance.

#### **REFERENCES**

- t. Park, P.S.W., and P.B. Addis, *Anat. Biochem. 149:275* (1985}.
- 2. Peng S.-K., C.B. Taylor, P. Tham, N.T. Werthessen and B. Mikkelson, *Arch. Patho£ Lab. Med. 102:57* (1978).
- 3. Addis, P.B., *Fd. Chem. Toxic.* 24:1021 {1986).
- 4. Park, P.S.W., and P.B. Addis. *J. Food Sci.* 52:1500 (1987}.
- 5. Park, P.S.W., and P.B. Addis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 34:653 (1986}.
- 6. Sander, B.D., P.B. Addis, P.S.W. Park, and D.E. Smith. J. *Food Prot.* 52:109 (1989).
- 7. Sander, B.D., D.E. Smith, P.B. Addis, and P.S.W. Park. J. *Food Sci.* 54:874 11989).
- 8. Brooks, C.J.W., W. Henderson and G. Steel. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 296:431 (1973).

[Received May 22, 1989; accepted August 31, 1989] [J5727]